

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO**

IN RE:

**NELSON VARGAS CORDERO
MARY J. RODRIGUEZ QUINONES

DEBTORS**

**CASE NO 98-10612-ESL

CHAPTER 13**

IN RE:

**NELSON VARGAS CORDERO
MARY J. RODRIGUEZ QUINONES**

PLAINTIFFS

Vs.

**COOPERATIVA DE AHORRO Y CREDITO
NUESTRA SENORA DE LA CANDELARIA;
JOHN DOE and JANE ROE;
X,Y, AND/OR Z INS. COS.**

ADV. NO.: 11-00151

**VIOLATION OF DISCHARGE
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF /
CONTEMPT/DAMAGES**

DEFENDANTS

**JOSE CARRION MORALES
CHAPTER 13 TRUSTEE/PARTY IN INTEREST
CASE # 11-02484**

**PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT
ON THE ISSUE OF LIABILITY**

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

COME NOW, THE PLAINTIFFS in the above-captioned proceeding, acting through counsel and in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 42, the "Motion"), very respectfully file this reply to Defendant's Opposition to Summary Judgment filed at docket 55 (the "Opposition"), Plaintiffs plead and pray as follows:

1. Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion on the issue of discharge violation liability rests on several very faulty premises, to wit: 1) it fails to address the standard for "willful violation" or "willfulness" applicable in this District, 2) it rests on an affidavit purporting to support a "computer did it" defense that is discredited and a non-starter, 3) both affidavits filed in support are mere "hearsay" allegations by parties who are not in the best position to allege or claim anything in defense of the allegations in the Complaint, and 4) it fails to address the list of material, uncontested facts set forth in the Motion that form the *gravamen* for the Complaint. In fact, the second affidavit by Lcdo Nazario Maldonado has an actual admission of fact concerning actual notice of the discharge given by Debtors, at a state court hearing, to an attorney for the Defendant that directly supports Plaintiffs' plea for relief on their claims of discharge violation.
2. It may suffice for summary judgment to be entered for Plaintiffs, the legal fact that CandelCoop "stipulates facts 1 through 14" set forth by Plaintiffs as part of their Motion for Summary Judgment." (Opposition at pp. 2, section II) The stipulation is in reference to statements of material facts filed by Plaintiffs in support of the Motion at dockets 40 and/or 41, or both, since these are the only papers containing "facts" numbered 1 – 14. The Plaintiffs gracefully acknowledge the Defendant's candid admission of the core, material facts constituting the willful violation which, at law, should be sufficient to enter judgment for Plaintiffs.
3. As to the remainder of the Opposition, CandelCoop cite cases on "willfulness" standards inapplicable in this Court from other jurisdictions that, frankly, do not begin to address the facts of this case. CandelCoop also misinterprets the standard for awarding sanctions for contempt for discharge violations. In the First Circuit, "a variety of somewhat passive

acts are potentially violations of the discharge injunction if they are objectively coercive or [have are] coercive in effect”. See *In re Curtis*, 539 B.R. 356, *5 (1st Cir BAP 2007) (unpublished opinion) citing, *In re Pratt*, 462 F.3d 14, at 20 (1st Cir. 2006). Thus, the standard for imposing contempt sanctions is finding the commission of an act to collect that was “objectively coercive” in itself or had a “coercive effect” to obligate the Debtors to pay; there is no need to find any evidence of bad faith in the creditor’s actions to collect. In *re Pratt*, supra at 19. In this case, the evidence is abundant and the facts are ‘stipulated’ that CandelCoop carried-on with its collection action even in the face of defenses and documents showing the claim was discharged.

4. As for “willfulness”, in this Court, once the plaintiff establishes there was notice of the discharge and a voluntary act to collect by the Defendant, it can be said that liability under the “willfulness” standard is nearly automatic; almost *per se*. “The standard for a willful violation of the discharge injunction under § 524(a)(2) is met if the defendant had knowledge of the discharge injunction and the same intended the actions which constituted the violation.” See *In re Laboy*, 2010 WL 427780, *6 (Bankr. D. P.R. Feb. 2010) (Lamoutte, Bankruptcy Judge) (unreported opinion; citations omitted). “The defendant must have actual or constructive knowledge of the discharged debt for the knowledge requirement to be satisfied.” *Id.*, citing *Torres v. Chase Bank U.S.A., N.A. (In re Torres)*, 367 B.R. 478, 490 (Bankr.S.D.N.Y.2007).
5. The Statement filed by CandelCoop of its supervisor in the name of Mr. Pedro Ramos Lorenzana (the “Ramos Statement”), merely amounts to a “computer did it” defense. See also, Opposition, pars 3-6. The Ramos Statement is also unreliable hearsay since it purports to speak for and detail a purported business record made by an employee who was dismissed, coincidentally enough, just before this case was re-opened, Mr. Joel

Collazo. Id.; (Ramos Statement, par. 5)

6. As for the “computer did it” defense attempted by CandelCoop that it changed its systems, that apparently the old cases were not included, or not properly coded or not introduced in the accounting for collections purposes (see Opposition at par 3-6), “[t]hat defense is a nonstarter in this Court’s judgment since intelligent beings still control the computer and could have altered the programming appropriately.” See *In re McCormack*, 203 B.R. 521, 524 (Bankr. D.N.H 1996); *In re Rijos*, 263 B.R. 382, 392 (1st Cir BAP 2001) If keeping track of Debtors’ 1998 case and discharge of the claim proved so difficult in the Defendant’s new computer system, we note that CandelCoop’s “employees were not precluded from getting a quill pen and ledger book to keep track of the effects of a chapter 13 plan in progress if indeed it was beyond the powers of mortal men and women to re-program their computer.” *McCormack*, *supra* at f/n 2.
7. CandelCoop admits that it had knowledge of the fact that up to the time of the violation in question, Debtors had filed three cases: 98-10612, 08-06559 and 09-06871. (Opposition pp. 2) CandelCoop admits that its files contain evidence of the 09-06871 case, but allegedly didn’t dig deep enough to find the instant 1998 case. The Court may take notice that the only case in which CandelCoop was made a creditor-party, where it filed a claim, and in which the claim under review was actually discharged, was the 1998 case subject of this proceeding. In the 2008 and the 2009 cases, CandelCoop was not even listed as a creditor, for one simple reason, because the claim had been discharged in the 1998 case. Neither did CandelCoop partake in the 2008 or 2009 cases.
8. Thus, there is no basis for Defendants tepid insinuation that this Court should take notice of those other filings to somehow excuse CandelCoop or to conclude that the Defendant

was 'dazed and confused' as to which case had the claim been discharged. Such an implication is nonsense, because if the Defendant has actually checked the Court's CM/ECF system with the Debtor's name as it claims to have done in a statement under penalty of perjury, it had to, by force, have found all three cases listed in the same results page. Thus, CandelCoop could have corroborated, as it later did upon Debtor's complaints in state court (Opposition pp. 5, par 9), that the claim was discharged in the 1998 case and that Defendant was never made a party to or notified of, the latter two cases. Therefore, so much for CandelCoop's theory of confusion and computer did it defenses. (**See Debtors' attachment:** CM/ECF search results for Mr. Nelson Vargas Cordero; Creditor Matrix for the 2008 and 2009 cases; (notice CandelCoop is not included))

9. As admitted by CandelCoop's state court attorney, Lcdo. Nazario Maldonado (the "Nazario Statement"), in the November 2010 hearing on the collection action, the Debtor appeared and raised the discharge defense and in fact "showed a document" to CandelCoop's substitute attorney, Lcdo. Reinaldo Diaz. (Nazario Statement at par 6) Thus, the Nazario Statement is equally hearsay in its most material elements, but constitutes an admission as to notice and opportunity to withdraw the violation without need for this legal action. Certainly it was not Debtor's obligation to provide any copies or to visit an adversary's counsel or do their work for them, in the face of a flagrant violation. CandelCoop's substitute counsel could have just as easily taken a pen and a piece of paper and written down the case number or any other pertinent information in the Debtor's document. In fact, the Debtors had showed the same discharge order to the process server for CandelCoop prior to attending court, a fact that CandelCoop

conveniently omits. Whatever the nature of CandelCoop's alleged confusion, the Debtors clearly and unambiguously raised the discharge defenses directly to CandelCoop, showed documentary evidence of the same to its attorney in front of a judge in a court of law, and prior to bringing this action, they were again served with process in an amended suit for the same claim.

10. The bottom line is that CandelCoop's Opposition is rife with excuses of could've, would've, should've, pointless and immaterial allegations based on hearsay, and admissions of facts material to the liability issue, such as notice of the discharge and fair warnings by Debtors before bringing this proceeding. The material uncontested facts set forth in Debtors' Complaint and Motion concerning, 1) Defendant's notice of the 1998 bankruptcy case, 2) CandelCoop's participation in that bankruptcy case, 3) entry of discharge order of the claim, and 4) notice to CandelCoop of the discharge order, remain uncontested. In fact, the Opposition barely attempts to dispute the Statement of Material Facts set forth by the Debtors. By CandelCoop's own admission in its Opposition and Statements in support, they filed the collection action willfully, after having every opportunity to corroborate the facts, and even after the fact of discharged was revealed to Defendant, before a judge in state court, by the Debtors.

11. Therefore, for all the foregoing reasons, Debtors pray summary judgment be entered against CandelCoop on the issue of liability for willful violation of the discharge injunction.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that summary judgment on the issue of liability for willful violation of the discharge injunction be entered against CandelCoop.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, in Arecibo, Puerto Rico, this 10th day of July, 2012.

By: /s/ Edwin Matos Maldonado
EDWIN MATOS MALDONADO, Esq.
Lead Attorney, USDC 226403

BUFETE ZENO GLORO
EDWIN MATOS MALDONADO
USDC 226403
FELIX M ZENO GLORO
USDC 124212
LIAVANESSA FONTANEZ RUIZ
USDC 213707

Attorneys for Plaintiff
PO BOX 1945; ARECIBO PR 00613
TEL 879-1760 ; FAX 880-2756
tribunal@zenogloro.com

Select a Case

There were 2 matching persons.

There were 5 matching cases.

Name	Case No.	Case Title	Chapter / Lead BK case	Date Filed	Party Role	Date Closed
VARGAS CORDERO, NELSON (pty) (4 cases)	<u>98-10612-ESL13</u>	NELSON VARGAS CORDERO and MARY J. RODRIGUEZ QUINONES	13	07/31/98	Debtor	N / A
	<u>09-06871-SEK13</u>	NELSON VARGAS CORDERO and MARIJULIA RODRIGUEZ QUINONEZ	13	08/21/09	Debtor	06/10/10
	<u>11-00151-ESL</u>	VARGAS CORDERO et al v. COOPERATIVA DE AHORRO Y CREDITO NUESTRA SENORA DE	Lead BK: 98-10612-ESL13 NELSON VARGAS CORDERO and MARY J. RODRIGUEZ QUINONES	07/15/11	Plaintiff	N / A
	<u>11-02484-ESL13</u>	NELSON VARGAS CORDERO and MARIJULIA RODRIGUEZ QUINONES	13	03/25/11	Debtor	N / A
VARGAS CORDERO, NELSON (pty) (1 case)	<u>08-06559-GAC13</u>	NELSON VARGAS CORDERO and MARI JULIA RODRIGUEZ QUINONES	13	09/30/08	Debtor	08/03/09

PACER Service Center			
Transaction Receipt			
06/27/2012 09:29:20			
PACER Login:	fz0002	Client Code:	
Description:	Search	Search Criteria:	LName: vargas cordero FName: nelson
Billable Pages:	1	Cost:	0.10

Label Matrix for local noticing
0104-3

Case 08-06559-GAC13

District of Puerto Rico

Old San Juan

Wed Jun 27 09:31:39 AST 2012

RELIABLE FINANCIAL SERVICES

PO BOX 21382

SAN JUAN, PR 00928-1382

BANCO BILBAO VIZCAYA ARGENTARIA

PO BOX 364745

SAN JUAN, PR 00936-4745

FIRSTBANK PR

PO BOX 9146

SAN JUAN, PR 00908-0146

US Bankruptcy Court District of P.R.

Jose V Toledo Fed Bldg & US Courthouse

300 Recinto Sur Street, Room 109

San Juan, PR 00901-1964

AUTORIDAD DE ENERGIA ELECTRICA PR

PO BOX 363508

SAN JUAN, PR 00936-3508

BANCO SANTANDER PR

PO BOX 362589

SAN JUAN, PR 00936-2589

BBV

BANCO BILBAO VIZCAYA

PO BOX 364745

SAN JUAN, PR 00936-4745

CITIFINANCIAL

AVE JOSE DE DIEGO #314

J.C. PADILLA APTDO 1962

ARECIBO, PR 00612

CLARO

PO BOX 70366

SAN JUAN, PR 00936-8366

DAVID CORDERO RIVERA

VEGA BAJA, PR 00693

DORA COOP

PO BOX 643

DORADO, PR 00646-0643

EPRAIN NUNEZ INC

PO BOX 191478

SAN JUAN, PR 00919-1478

FELIX VIERA GERENA

HC 01 BOX 3973

FLORIDA, PR 00650

FIRST MORTGAGE

PO BOX 8318

SAN JUAN, PR 00910-0318

FIRSTBANK PUERTO RICO

DEPARTAMENTO DE HIPOTECAS - 475

PO BOX 9146

SAN JUAN PUERTO RICO 00908-0146

GOYA DE P.R.

PO BOX 1467

BAYAMON, PR 00960-1467

GRUPO MILL AGRO

PO BOX 1143

SAN JUAN, PR 00922

HERMANOS COLON

RR 07 BOX 11192

TOA ALTA, PR 00953-8831

HORACIO A. CABRERA RODRIGUEZ

PO BOX 404

BAYAMON, PR 00960-0404

(p) INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

CENTRALIZED INSOLVENCY OPERATIONS

PO BOX 7346

PHILADELPHIA PA 19101-7346

MUEBLERIAS LA JIBARITA

PO BOX 2223

VEGA BAJA PR 00694-2223

NORTHWESTERN SELECTA

PO BOX 10718

SAN JUAN, PR 00922-0718

POPULAR AUTO

PO BOX 15011

SAN JUAN PR 00902-8511

POPULAR AUTO (POPULAR LEASING)

PO BOX 366818

SAN JUAN PUERTO RICO 00936-6818

SOCIEDAD AGRICOLA HERMANOS PEREZ

PO BOX 1770

VEGA BAJA, PR 00694-1770

ALEJANDRO OLIVERAS RIVERA

ALEJANDRO OLIVERAS CHAPTER 13 TRUS

PO BOX 9024062

SAN JUAN, PR 00902-4062

FELIX M ZENO GLORO

PO BOX 1945

ARECIBO, PR 00613-1945

MARI JULIA RODRIGUEZ QUINONES

CALLE 7 BUZON B3

PUEBLO NUEVO

VEGA BAJA, PR 00693

MONSITA LECAROS ARRIAS

OFFICE OF THE US TRUSTEE (UST)

OCHOA BUILDING

500 TANCA STREET SUITE 301

SAN JUAN, PR 00901

NELSON VARGAS CORDERO
CALLE 7 BUZON B3
PUEBLO NUEVO
VEGA BAJA, PR 00693

The preferred mailing address (p) above has been substituted for the following entity/entities as so specified by said entity/entities in a Notice of Address filed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 342(f) and Fed.R.Bank.P. 2002 (g)(4).

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE
MERCANTIL PLAZA BLDG RM 1014
2 PONCE DE LEON AVE STOP 27 1/2
SAN JUAN, PR 00918

The following recipients may be/have been bypassed for notice due to an undeliverable (u) or duplicate (d) address.

(d)RELIABLE FINANCIAL SERVICES	End of Label Matrix	
PO BOX 21382	Mailable recipients	30
SAN JUAN, PR 00928-1382	Bypassed recipients	1
	Total	31

Label Matrix for local noticing
0104-3
Case 09-06871-SEK13
District of Puerto Rico
Old San Juan
Wed Jun 27 09:35:22 AST 2012

POPULAR AUTO
PO BOX 366818
SAN JUAN, PR 00936-6818

BANCO SANTANDER
PO BOX 194439
SAN JUAN, PR 00919-4439

BUFETE MONTANEZ & ALICEA
CONDOMINIO EL CENTRO I
OFICINAS 211-214 500 MU OZ RIVERA
SAN JUAN, PR 00918

CLARO
PO BOX 70365
SAN JUAN, PR 00936

EFRAIN NU EZ INC
PO BOX 191478
SAN JUAN, PR 00919-1478

FIRSTBANK PUERTO RICO
PO BOX 9146
SAN JUAN, PR 00908-0146

GRUPO MILL AGRO
PO BOX 1143
BAYAMON, PR 00960-1143

LCDO. JOSE L. CORREA CINTRON
BOX 246
TRUJILLO ALTO, PR 00977-0246

NANCY CRUZ ROBLEDO
HC-02 BOX 47772
VEGA BAJA, PR 00693-9674

BANCO BILBAO VIZCAYA ARGENTARIA
PO BOX 364745
SAN JUAN, PR 00936-4745

RELIABLE FINANCIAL SERVICES INC
PO BOX 21382
SAN JUAN, PR 00928-1382

BEVA
PO BOX 364745
SAN JUAN, PR 00936-4745

BUFETE MONTANEZ & ALICEA
CONDOMINIO EL CENTRO I
OFICINAS 211-214, 500 MUNOZ RIVERA
SAN JUAN, PR 00918

DORA COOP
APARTADO 643
DORADO, PR 00646-0643

FELIX VIERA GERENA
HC-01 BOX 3973
FLORIDA, PR 00650

FRANCISCO J. PORTUONDO DIAZ
PO BOX 364745
SAN JUAN, PR 00936-4745

HORACIO A. CABRERA RODRIGUEZ
PO BOX 404
BAYAMON, PR 00960-0404

MILLENNIUM COLLECTION AGENCY, INC.
PO BOX 79193
CAROLINA, PR 00984-9193

NORTHWESTERN SELECTA
PO BOX 10718
SAN JUAN PR 00922-0718

FIRSTBANK PUERTO RICO
MONTANEZ & ALICEA
EL CENTRO I SUITE 211 MUNOZ RIVERA 500
SAN JUAN, PR 00918

US Bankruptcy Court District of P.R.
Jose V Toledo Fed Bldg & US Courthouse
300 Recinto Sur Street, Room 109
San Juan, PR 00901-1964

BRENDA LIZ MARTINEZ MARTI
HC-02 BOX 47774
VEGA BAJA, PR 00693-9674

CITIFINANCIAL
PO BOX 71587
SAN JUAN, PR 00936-8687

Department of Treasury
Bankruptcy Section (424-B)
PO Box 9024140
San Juan, PR 00902-4140

FIRST BANK
PO BOX 19327
SAN JUAN, PR 00910-1327

GOYA DE PR
PO BOX 1467
BAYAMON, PR 00960-1467

(p)INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE
CENTRALIZED INSOLVENCY OPERATIONS
PO BOX 7346
PHILADELPHIA PA 19101-7346

MUEBLERIAS LA JIBARITA
PO BOX 2223
VEGA BAJA PR 00694-2223

POPULAR AUTO
PO BOX 15011
SAN JUAN, PR 00902-8511

POPULAR AUTO (POPULAR LEASING)
 BANKRUPTCY DEPARTMENT
 PO BOX 366818
 SAN JUAN PUERTO RICO 00936-6818

PREPA
 MARIA T. GORBEA
 PO BOX 363928
 SAN JUAN, PR 00936-3928

RELIABLE FINANCIAL
 PO BOX 21382
 SAN JUAN, PR 00928-1382

SOCIEDAD AGRICOL HNOS. PEREZ
 PO BOX 1770
 VEGA BAJA, PR 00694-1770

TRIPLE-S PROPIEDAD
 PO BOX 9023862
 SAN JUAN, PR 00902-3862

ALEJANDRO OLIVERAS RIVERA
 ALEJANDRO OLIVERAS CHAPTER 13 TRUS
 PO BOX 9024062
 SAN JUAN, PR 00902-4062

JAIME RODRIGUEZ RODRIGUEZ
 RODRIGUEZ & ASOCIADOS
 PO BOX 2477
 VEGA BAJA, PR 00694-2477

MARIJULIA RODRIGUEZ QUINONEZ
 CALLE 7 BUZON B3
 PUEBLO NUEVO
 VEGA BAJA, PR 00693

MONSITA LECAROS ARRIBAS
 OFFICE OF THE US TRUSTEE (UST)
 OCHOA BUILDING
 500 TANCA STREET SUITE 301
 SAN JUAN, PR 00901

NELSON VARGAS CORDERO
 CALLE 7 BUZON B3
 PUEBLO NUEVO
 VEGA BAJA, PR 00693

The preferred mailing address (p) above has been substituted for the following entity/entities as so specified
 by said entity/entities in a Notice of Address filed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 342(f) and Fed.R.Bank.P. 2002 (g)(4).

IRS
 PO BOX 80110
 CINCINNATI, OH 45280-0010

End of Label Matrix	
Mailable recipients	39
Bypassed recipients	0
Total	39